COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

RICHARD L. MCCAULEY		}
	COMPLAINANT	}
vs.) CASE NO.
KENTUCKY TURNPIKE WATE	ER DISTRICT) 90-107
	DEFENDANT	3

ORDER

Kentucky Turnpike Water District ("Kentucky Turnpike") having moved for reconsideration of the Commission Order of December 16, 1990 and it appearing to the Commission as follows:

Kentucky Turnpike requests consideration on two issues - "the amount of expenditure which must be expended . . . in terms of the benefit to the Kentucky Turnpike Water District and its current customers" and the time period for compliance.

As to the first issue, the Commission is uncertain what Kentucky Turnpike is attempting to address. Assuming <u>arquendo</u> that Kentucky Turnpike is contending that it is unreasonable to spend \$18,000 to provide water service to two existing customers within the standards prescribed by Commission regulations, we find its argument to be without merit. Commission Regulation 807 KAR 5:066 requires utilities without exception to provide water service within certain pressure ranges. Once a utility assumes the obligation to provide service, it cannot cite cost as an excuse for providing substandard service.

As to the second issue, no reason is given why the time period for compliance should be reconsidered. A motion for reconsideration is not granted as a matter of course. The movant must provide some grounds for the requested relief. The Commission will consider extending the time limits for compliance if Kentucky Turnpike states reasonable grounds for such extension by separate motion for extension of time.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Kentucky Turnpike's motion for reconsideration is denied.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 5th day of February, 1991.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

hairman

10000

demail and the second

ATTEST:

Executive Director